

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No. 4239-11/2024

Allahyar Khan Talpur

Vs

PM Performance Delivery Unit

April 17, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The appeal was allowed vide order dated 15-01-2025 however, the public body has challenged the order of the Commission before the Islamabad High Court and the IHC vide letter dated 26-02-2025 has suspended the order of the Commission till the next date of hearing.
2. In view of above, the implementation proceedings are sine-die adjourned however, if fate of the appeal is decided in favor of the appellant, he may approach the Commission for implementation of the order of the Commission. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 44401-01/25

Azmat Khan

Vs

PIA

April 17, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 21-03-2025 under RGL No. 146097691. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4064-09/2024

Shahbaz Akmal Jandran

Vs

Excise and Taxation Department

April 17, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 12-03-2025 under RGL No. 146485246. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4077-09/2024

Shahbaz Akmal Jandran

Vs

Excise and Taxation Department

April 17, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 12-03-2025 under RGL No. 146203321. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4368-01/2025

Saddia Mazhar

Vs

Pakistan Telecommunication Authority (PTA)

April 17, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 17-03-2025 under RGL No. 146203391. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4108-10/2024

Nadeem Umer

Vs

Metropolitan Corporation Islamabad

April 17, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 18-03-2025 under RGL No. 146097564. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 3806-07/2024

Abdul Hakeem Baloch

Vs

Islamabad Electric Supply Company (IESCO)

April 17, 2025

Appellant present in person. Azmat Ali Shah, XEN (Westriage),
IESCO appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. As per directions of the commission, the public body furnished written reply vide letter dated 16-04-2025 answering all the queries of the appellant. Said reply was shared with the appellant who has acknowledged its receipt, however has filed rejoinder showing dissatisfaction over the shared information.
2. The commission has examined the information request, reply of the public body furnished on 16-04-2025 and rejoinder of the appellant.
3. The appellant vide his information request has required detail of installed 50KV, 100KV, 150KVA and 200KVA transformers and detail of connections according to SOP in respect of Farid Town Jhangi Syedan, Islamabad Home Phase II Jhangi Syedan, Model Valley Jhangi Syedan, Tayyab Town / Tayyab Garden Phase 1 Jhangi Syedan, Tayyab Garden Phase II Jhangi Syedan, Gulshan-e-Taleem Jhangi Syedan and Shams Town Jhangi Syedan.
4. The public body in the written reply dated 16-04-2025 has furnished detail of installation of the said transformers and has categorically stated that all the transformers are installed as per SOP. It is further added that complainant is residing in Tayyab Garden Phase 1 and is involved in theft of electricity and FIR has been lodged against him for theft of the electricity. The appellant was also found selling electricity after committing theft thereof to various residents by providing sub meter and electricity. Reference of FIR 487/24 is also mentioned and trial of the said case is in process.
5. The rejoinder of the appellant over the shared information has been found not tenable as the written reply containing categorical reply of all the queries has been found adequate. Therefore, after sharing the information according to the information request, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

